

On Coupling and Convergence in Density and in Distribution

H. Thorisson*

* *University of Iceland, Dunhaga 3, 107 Reykjavik, Iceland*

Abstract. According to the Skorohod representation theorem, convergence in distribution to a limit in a separable set is equivalent to the existence of a coupling with elements converging a.s. in the metric. A density analogue of this theorem says that a sequence of probability densities on a general measurable space has a probability density as a pointwise lower limit if and only if there exists a coupling with elements converging a.s. in the discrete metric. In this note the discrete-metric theorem is extended to a sequence of stochastic processes considered in a widening time window. The extension is then used to prove the Skorohod representation theorem.

Keywords: coupling, widening time window, Skorohod representation.

1. Introduction

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X be random elements in some measurable space (E, \mathcal{E}) with distributions P_1, P_2, \dots, P , respectively. Let $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X})$ denote a coupling of X_1, X_2, \dots, X . This means that the random elements $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X}$ are all defined on the same probability space and have the distributions P_1, P_2, \dots, P , respectively.

If E is a metric space and \mathcal{E} its Borel subsets, write

$$X_n \rightarrow X \text{ in distribution as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

to denote that for each bounded continuous function $h : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\int h dP_n \rightarrow \int h dP \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following result is the celebrated Skorohod Representation Theorem. It was proved by Skorohod in 1956 for random elements in a complete separable space, [2]. Dudley [1] removed the completeness assumption in 1968 and Wichura [5] showed in 1970 that it suffices that the support of P is separable.

Theorem 1. *Let E be a metric space, let \mathcal{E} be its Borel subsets, and let P have a separable support. Then*

$$X_n \rightarrow X \text{ in distribution as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if there is a coupling $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X})$ of X_1, X_2, \dots, X such that

$$\hat{X}_n \rightarrow \hat{X} \text{ pointwise in the metric as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \triangleright$$

Here we shall present a proof of this theorem based on the concept of *convergence in density*. In Section 2, we introduce that concept and prove the associated representation (coupling) theorem which has a relatively simple proof. In Section 3, we extend that representation theorem to stochastic processes considered in a widening time window. In Section 4, we use the extension to prove the Skorohod Representation Theorem.

2. Convergence in Density

Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f be densities of X_1, X_2, \dots, X with respect to a measure λ . Note that such a λ always exists: we can for instance take $\lambda = P + \sum \frac{1}{2^i} P_i$. Let

$$X_n \rightarrow X \quad \text{in density as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

denote that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f \quad \text{a.e. } \lambda \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that f_n/f is defined a.e. with respect to P . It is the Radon-Nikodym density dP_n/dP of the absolutely continuous part of P_n with respect to P . Thus convergence in density is equivalent to

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} dP_n/dP = 1 \quad \text{a.e. } P \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note also that in the discrete case convergence in density means simply that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n = x) = \mathbb{P}(X = x)$, $x \in E$.

In a 1995 paper [3], Section 5.4, this author established the following analog of the Skorohod Representation Theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let (E, \mathcal{E}) be a general measurable space. Then*

$$X_n \rightarrow X \quad \text{in density as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if there is a coupling $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X})$ of X_1, X_2, \dots, X such that

$$\hat{X}_n \rightarrow \hat{X} \quad \text{pointwise in the discrete metric as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \triangleright$$

Proof. First, assume the coupling claim, namely that there is an \mathbb{N} -valued random variable N such that $\hat{X}_n = \hat{X}$, $n \geq N$. Fix $B \in \mathcal{E}$ and $n < m$. Partition E into sets $A_n, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\min_{n \leq i \leq m} f_i = f_j$ on A_j for $n \leq j \leq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\hat{X} \in B, N \leq n) &= \sum_{j=n}^m \mathbb{P}(\hat{X} \in B \cap A_j, N \leq n) \quad [\text{partition}] \\ &= \sum_{j=n}^m \mathbb{P}(\hat{X}_j \in B \cap A_j, N \leq n) \quad [\text{since } \hat{X}_j = \hat{X} \text{ when } j \geq n \geq N] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n}^m \mathbb{P}(\hat{X}_j \in B \cap A_j) = \sum_{j=n}^m \int_{B \cap A_j} f_j = \int_B \min_{n \leq i \leq m} f_i \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Send first $m \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain that $\mathbb{P}(\hat{X} \in B, N \leq n) \leq \int_B \inf_{n \leq i < \infty} f_i \leq 1$. Then send $n \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain that

$$P(B) \leq \int_B \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } B \in \mathcal{E}.$$

This forces $P(B) = \int_B \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ for all $B \in \mathcal{E}$. Thus the if-direction holds.

Conversely, assume that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ is a density of X . Let μ_n be the measure with density $g_n := \inf_{m \geq n} f_m$ w.r.t. λ . Then $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \nearrow P$. Let $N, V_1, V_2, \dots, W_1, W_2, \dots$ be independent random elements such that

$$N \text{ has distribution function } \mathbb{P}(N \leq n) = \mu_n(E), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$V_n \text{ has distribution } (\mu_n - \mu_{n-1})/\mathbb{P}(N = n) \text{ where } \mu_0 = 0,$$

$$W_n \text{ has distribution } (P_n - \mu_n)/\mathbb{P}(N > n).$$

Put $\hat{X}_n = V_N$ on $\{N \leq n\}$ and $\hat{X}_n = W_n$ on $\{N > n\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\hat{X}_n \in \cdot) &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{P}(V_i \in \cdot) \mathbb{P}(N = i) + \mathbb{P}(W_n \in \cdot) \mathbb{P}(N > n) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\mu_i - \mu_{i-1}) + (P_n - \mu_n) = P_n. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\hat{X} = V_N$. Then (again by telescoping)

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{X} \in \cdot) = \sum_{1 \leq i < \infty} \mathbb{P}(V_i \in \cdot) \mathbb{P}(N = i) = \sum_{1 \leq i < \infty} (\mu_i - \mu_{i-1}) = P.$$

Clearly $\hat{X}_n = \hat{X}$, $n \geq N$. Thus the only-if-direction also holds. \square

3. Convergence in Density – Widening Time Window

Set $(H, \mathcal{H}) = (E^{(1)}, \mathcal{E}^{(1)}) \otimes (E^{(2)}, \mathcal{E}^{(2)}) \otimes \dots \otimes (E, \mathcal{E})$. If $\mathbf{Z} = (Z^1, Z^2, \dots, X)$ is a random element in (H, \mathcal{H}) write $\mathbf{Z}^k = (Z^1, Z^2, \dots, Z^k)$ for a time window of length $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $(H^k, \mathcal{H}^k) = (E^{(1)}, \mathcal{E}^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes (E^{(k)}, \mathcal{E}^{(k)})$.

The following theorem is from the author's 2016 paper [4].

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Z}$ be random elements in (H, \mathcal{H}) . Then*

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbf{Z}_n^k \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^k \text{ in density as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if there are integers $0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$, a coupling $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1^{k_1}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2^{k_2}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$ of $\mathbf{Z}_1^{k_1}, \mathbf{Z}_2^{k_2}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}$ and an \mathbb{N} -valued N such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}, \quad n \geq N.$$

\triangleright

Proof. First, assume the coupling claim. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_m \geq k$, and note that then the coupling claim yields $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^k = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^k$ for $n \geq \max\{N, m\}$. Theorem 2 now yields the density claim.

Conversely, with Q, Q^k, Q_n^k the distributions of $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}^k, \mathbf{Z}_n^k$ and with f_n^k a density of Q_n^k , assume that $g_n^k := \inf_{i \geq n} f_i^k \nearrow$ to a density of Q^k , $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence with ν_n^k the measure with density g_n^k ,

$$\nu_1^k \leq \nu_2^k \leq \dots \nearrow Q^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus there are numbers $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$0 \leq Q^k - \nu_{n_k}^k \leq 2^{-k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For $A \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathbf{z}^k \in H^k$, let $q_k(A | \mathbf{z}^k)$ be the conditional probability of the event $\{\mathbf{Z} \in A\}$ given $\mathbf{Z}^k = \mathbf{z}^k$. Then

$$Q(A) = \int q_k(A | \cdot) dQ^k, \quad A \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Use $\nu_{n_k}^k \ll Q^k$ to extend $\nu_{n_k}^k$ from (H^k, \mathcal{H}^k) to a ν_k on (H, \mathcal{H}) by

$$\nu_k(A) := \int q_k(A | \cdot) d\nu_{n_k}^k, \quad A \in \mathcal{H}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the last three displays yield

$$0 \leq Q - \nu_k \leq 2^{-k}. \quad (1)$$

Let h_k be a density of ν_k with respect to Q . For integers $k < m$ let $\nu_{k,m}$ be the measure with density $\min_{k \leq j \leq m} h_j$ with respect to Q . Partition H into sets $A_k, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\min_{k \leq j \leq m} h_j = h_i$ on A_i and thus

$$\nu_{k,m}(\cdot \cap A_i) = \nu_i(\cdot \cap A_i), \quad k \leq i \leq m.$$

This and (1) yield

$$0 \leq Q - \nu_{k,m} = \sum_{k \leq i \leq m} (Q(\cdot \cap A_i) - \nu_i(\cdot \cap A_i)) \leq \sum_{k \leq i < \infty} 2^{-i} = 2^{-k+1}.$$

Define $k_n := k$ if $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$. Then $0 \leq Q - \nu_{k_n,m} \leq 2^{-k_n+1}$. Let μ_n be the measure with density $\inf_{i \geq k_n} h_i$ with respect to Q and send $m \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain $0 \leq Q - \mu_n \leq 2^{-k_n+1}$. Thus

$$0 =: \mu_0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \nearrow Q.$$

Since $g_n^k \leq f_n^k$ and $\inf_{i \geq k_n} h_i \leq h_{k_n}$ we have $\nu_n^k \leq Q_n^k$ and $\mu_n \leq \nu_{k_n}$. Thus

$$\mu_n^{k_n} \leq Q_n^{k_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now apply the last two displays: let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ support independent random elements $N, \mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2, \dots, \mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2, \dots$ such that

$$N \text{ is } \mathbb{N} \text{ valued and } \mathbb{P}(N \leq n) = \mu_n(H), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\mathbf{V}_n \text{ is } H \text{ valued and } \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}_n \in \cdot) = (\mu_n - \mu_{n-1})/\mathbb{P}(N = n),$$

$$\mathbf{W}_n \text{ is } H^{k_n} \text{ valued and } \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{W}_n \in \cdot) = (Q_n^{k_n} - \mu_n^{k_n})/\mathbb{P}(N > n).$$

Put $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \mathbf{V}_N^{k_n}$ on $\{N \leq n\}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \mathbf{W}_n$ on $\{N > n\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} \in \cdot) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}_i^{k_n} \in \cdot) \mathbb{P}(N = i) + \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{W}_n \in \cdot) \mathbb{P}(N > n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_i^{k_n} - \mu_{i-1}^{k_n}) + (Q_n^{k_n} - \mu_n^{k_n}) = Q_n^{k_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\hat{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{V}_N$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{\mathbf{Z}} \in \cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}_i \in \cdot) \mathbb{P}(N = i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mu_i - \mu_{i-1}) = Q.$$

Clearly $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}$, $n \geq N$. □

We now extend $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1^{k_1}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2^{k_2}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$ to a full coupling $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$ in a special case. This extension will be used in the next section.

Corollary. *Let $(H, \mathcal{H}) := (\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}) \otimes (\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}) \otimes \dots \otimes (E, \mathcal{E})$. Then*

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \mathbf{i}^k \in \mathbb{N}^{k_n} : \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbf{Z}_n^k = \mathbf{i}^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Z}^k = \mathbf{i}^k) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if there exists a coupling $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$ of $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Z}$, an \mathbb{N} -valued random variable N and integers $0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}, \quad n \geq N$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{V}_{n, \mathbf{i}^{k_n}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{i}^{k_n} \in \mathbb{N}^{k_n}$, be random elements that are independent of $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1^{k_1}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2^{k_2}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}, N)$ and such that $\mathbf{V}_{n, \mathbf{i}^{k_n}}^{k_n} = \mathbf{i}^{k_n}$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}_{n, \mathbf{i}^{k_n}} \in \cdot) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Z}_n \in \cdot | \mathbf{Z}_n^{k_n} = \mathbf{i}^{k_n})$. Since $\mathbf{V}_{n, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}}^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}$ we can extend $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}$ consistently to a $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n$ by $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n := \mathbf{V}_{n, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}}$. □

Remark. If (E, \mathcal{E}) is Polish then $(\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}})$ can be replaced by a Polish space. Moreover, Theorem 3 holds for general continuous-time $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}$, and if (E, \mathcal{E}) is Polish then in the corollary the discrete-time processes can be replaced by processes in Skorohod space. For proofs of these results, see [4].

4. Skorohod Representation Theorem – Proof

The if-direction of Theorem 1 is straightforward: if h is continuous and bounded and $\hat{X}_n \rightarrow \hat{X}$ pointwise as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then also $h(\hat{X}_n) \rightarrow h(\hat{X})$, which by bounded convergence yields $\int h dP_n \rightarrow \int h dP$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In order to prove the only-if-direction of Theorem 1, assume from now on that $X_n \rightarrow X$ in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A set $A \in \mathcal{E}$ is called a P -continuity set if its boundary has P -mass 0. According to the Portmanteau Theorem, convergence in distribution is equivalent to

$$P_n(A) \rightarrow P(A), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } P\text{-continuity sets } A.$$

Assume also that there is a separable set $S \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $P(S) = 1$.

Partition E recursively into nested partitions of P -continuity sets:

Let A_2, A_3, \dots be disjoint P -continuity sets covering S , of diameters < 1 . Put $A_1 = E \setminus (A_2 \cup A_3 \cup \dots)$ and note that A_1 is also a P -continuity set.

Put $A_{11} = A_1$ and $A_{12} = A_{13} = \dots = \emptyset$. For $i > 1$, let A_{i2}, A_{i3}, \dots be disjoint P -continuity sets covering $S \cap A_i$, each of diameter $< 1/2$. Put $A_{i1} = A_i \setminus (A_{i2} \cup A_{i3} \cup \dots)$, note that A_{i1} is also a P -continuity set.

Continue this recursively to obtain that

$$\{A_{\mathbf{i}^k} : \mathbf{i}^k \in \mathbb{N}^k\}, k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ are nested } E\text{-partitions of } P\text{-continuity sets,} \\ \text{and } A_{\mathbf{i}^k}, \mathbf{i}^k \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})^k, \text{ cover } S \text{ and are of diameters } < 1/k. \quad (2)$$

Put

$$\mathbf{Z}_n = (Z_n^1, Z_n^2, \dots, X_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Z} = (Z^1, Z^2, \dots, X)$$

where Z_n^k and Z^k are defined as follows: for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{i}^k \in \mathbb{N}^k$,

$$\mathbf{Z}_n^k = \mathbf{i}^k \text{ if } X_n \in A_{\mathbf{i}^k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Z}^k = \mathbf{i}^k \text{ if } X \in A_{\mathbf{i}^k}.$$

Now $P_n(A_{\mathbf{i}^k}) \rightarrow P(A_{\mathbf{i}^k})$ yields $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Z}_n^k = \mathbf{i}^k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{Z}^k = \mathbf{i}^k)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Apply the corollary to obtain a coupling $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$ and an \mathbb{N} -valued N such that $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}$ for $n \geq N$. Delete a null-event to obtain from this that $\hat{X} \in S$ and that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{i}^k \in \mathbb{N}^k$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^k = \mathbf{i}^k \text{ if } \hat{X}_n \in A_{\mathbf{i}^k} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^k = \mathbf{i}^k \text{ if } \hat{X} \in A_{\mathbf{i}^k}.$$

Thus $\hat{X}_n \in A_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}}$ and $\hat{X} \in A_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}}$ for all n . Now $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}$ for $n \geq N$ so

$$\text{for } n \geq N: \quad \text{both } \hat{X}_n \text{ and } \hat{X} \in A_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}}.$$

Since $\hat{X} \in S$ we have $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n} \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})^{k_n}$ and (2) yields (with d the metric)

$$\text{for } n \geq N: \quad d(\hat{X}_n, \hat{X}) < 1/k_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

References

1. *Dudley R.M.* Distances of probability measures and random variables // Ann. Math. Statist. — 1958. — Vol. 39. — P. 1563–1572.
2. *Skorohod A.V.* Limit theorems for stochastic processes // Theo. Probab. Appl. — 1956. — Vol. 1. — P. 261–290.
3. *Thorisson H.* Coupling methods in probability theory // Scand. J. Statist. — 1995. — Vol. 22. — P. 159–182.
4. *Thorisson H.* Convergence in Density in Finite Time Windows and the Skorohod Representation // Electron. Commun. Probab. — 2016. — Vol. 21, no. 63. — P. 1–9.
5. *Wichura M.J.* On the construction of almost uniformly convergent random variables with given weakly convergent image laws // Ann. Math. Statist. — 1970. — Vol. 41. — P. 284–291.