

Weighted entropy: basic facts and properties

M. Kelbert*, Y. Suhov^{†‡}, I. Stuhl[§]

* *Moscow Higher School of Economics, RF*

[†] *Math Dept, Penn State University, PA, USA*

[‡] *DPMMS, University of Cambridge, UK*

[§] *Math Dept, University of Denver, USA*

Abstract. The concept of weighted entropy takes into account values of different outcomes, i.e., makes entropy context-dependent, through the weight function. We analyse an analog of the entropy-power inequality for the weighted entropy and discuss connections with weighted Lieb's splitting inequality.

Keywords: weighted entropy, Gibbs inequality, Ky-Fan inequality, entropy power inequality, Lieb splitting inequality.

1. Introduction

We all know that the Shannon entropy of a probability distribution \mathbf{p}

$$h(\mathbf{p}) = - \sum p(x_i) \log p(x_i)$$

is context-free, i.e., it does not depend on the nature of outcomes x_i , only upon probabilities $p(x_i)$. However, imagine two equally rare medical conditions, occurring with probability $p \ll 1$, one of which carries a major health risk while the other is just a peculiarity. Formally, they provide the same amount of information $-\log p$ but the value of this information can be very different. So, we may modify the definition to make it context dependent. The weighted entropy is defined as

$$h_\phi^w(\mathbf{p}) = - \sum \phi(x_i)p(x_i) \log p(x_i).$$

A positive function $x_i \rightarrow \phi(x_i) \in \mathbf{R}_+$ represents weights of outcomes x_i . A popular example is $\phi(x) = \mathbf{1}(x \in A)$ where A is a particular subcollection of outcomes. A similar approach can be proposed for differential entropy of the probability density function (PDF) f_Z of random variable (RV) Z . Define the weighted differential entropy (WDE) as

$$h_\phi^w(f_Z) = h_\phi^w(Z) = -\mathbf{E}[\phi(Z) \log f_Z(Z)] = - \int \phi(x)f_Z(x) \log f_Z(x)dx. \tag{1}$$

We say that WDE is finite if RV Z has a density and the integral in (1) is absolutely convergent. Basic properties of WDE are studied in [4]. As

an example, take $f(x) = f_C^{N^o}(x)$ where $f_C^{N^o}(x)$ stands for d -dimensional Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and covariance matrix C

$$h_\phi^w(f_C^{N^o}) = \frac{\alpha_\phi(C)}{2} \log [(2\pi)^d \det(C)] + \frac{\log e}{2} \text{tr}[C^{-1} \Phi_{C,\phi}] \quad \text{where}$$

$$\alpha_\phi(C) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \phi(x) f_C^{N^o}(x) dx, \Phi_{C,\phi} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} x x^T \phi(x) f_C^{N^o}(x) dx.$$

2. The weighted Gibbs inequality

Given two non-negative functions f, g define the weighted Kullback-Leibler divergence (or relative WDE) as

$$D_\phi^w(f||g) = \int \phi(x) f(x) \log \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} dx.$$

Proposition 1 Suppose that

$$\int \phi(x) [f(x) - g(x)] dx \geq 0.$$

Then $D_\phi^w(f||g) \geq 0$.

3. Concavity and convexity of weighted entropy

Theorem 2 (a) The function $f \rightarrow h_\phi^w(f)$ is concave in argument f . Namely, for any PDFs $f_1(x), f_2(x)$, a non-negative function $x \rightarrow \phi(x)$, and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1]$ such that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$

$$h_\phi^w(\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2) \geq \lambda_1 h_\phi^w(f_1) + \lambda_2 h_\phi^w(f_2).$$

This inequality is strict unless $\phi(x)[f_1(x) - f_2(x)] = 0$ for $(\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2)$ -almost all x . (b) However, the relative WDE is convex: given two pairs of PDFs (f_1, f_2) and (g_1, g_2)

$$\lambda_1 D_\phi^w(f_1||g_1) + \lambda_2 D_\phi^w(f_2||g_2) \geq D_\phi^w(\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2 || \lambda_1 g_1 + \lambda_2 g_2).$$

4. Ky-Fan type inequalities

It is well-known that $C \rightarrow \delta(C) = \log \det(C)$ is a concave function of a (strictly) positive-definite matrix C

$$\delta(\lambda_1 C_1 + \lambda_2 C_2) \geq \lambda_1 \delta(C_1) + \lambda_2 \delta(C_2)$$

where $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0$. This is the well-known Ky-Fan inequality. In terms of differential entropies it is equivalent to the inequality

$$h(f_C^{N^o}) \geq \lambda_1 h(f_{C_1}^{N^o}) + \lambda_2 h(f_{C_2}^{N^o}).$$

where $C = \lambda_1 C_1 + \lambda_2 C_2$. Theorem 3 below presents a previously unknown series of bounds of Ky-Fan type. The most explicit results are available for $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{t}), \mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{R}^d$ in view of identity $h_\phi^w(f^{N^o}) = \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T C \mathbf{t}) h(f^{N^o})$. Introduce a set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{R}^d : F^{(1)}(\mathbf{t}) \geq 0, F^{(2)}(\mathbf{t}) \leq 0\} \quad \text{where}$$

$$F^{(1)}(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T C_i \mathbf{t}) - \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T C \mathbf{t}),$$

$$F^{(2)}(\mathbf{t}) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T C_i \mathbf{t}) - \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T C \mathbf{t}) \right] \log [(2\pi)^d \det(C)] \\ + \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T C_i \mathbf{t}) \text{tr}[C^{-1} C_i] - d \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T C \mathbf{t}).$$

Theorem 3 Given positive-definite matrices C_1, C_2 and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$, set $C = \lambda_1 C_1 + \lambda_2 C_2$. Assume $\mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$h(f_C^{N^o}) \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T C \mathbf{t}) - h(f_{C_1}^{N^o}) \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T C_1 \mathbf{t}) - h(f_{C_2}^{N^o}) \exp(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T C_2 \mathbf{t}) \geq 0,$$

equality iff $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 0$ or $C_1 = C_2$.

5. Weighted entropy-power inequality (WEPI)

Let X_1, X_2 be independent RVs with PDF f_1, f_2 and $X = X_1 + X_2$. The famous Shannon entropy power inequality states that

$$h(X_1 + X_2) \geq h(N_1 + N_2)$$

where N_1, N_2 are Gaussian RVs such that $h(X_i) = h(N_i), i = 1, 2$. Equivalently,

$$e^{2h(X_1 + X_2)} \geq e^{2h(X_1)} + e^{2h(X_2)} \quad (2)$$

see, e.g., [1]. We are interested in the Weighted Entropy Power inequality (WEPI)

$$\kappa := \exp\left(\frac{2h_\phi^w(X_1)}{\mathbf{E}\phi(X_1)}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{2h_\phi^w(X_2)}{\mathbf{E}\phi(X_2)}\right) \leq \exp\left(\frac{2h_\phi^w(X)}{\mathbf{E}\phi(X)}\right). \quad (3)$$

Note that (5) coincides with (5) when $\phi \equiv 1$. We set

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1} \left[\exp \left(\frac{h_\phi^w(X_2)}{\mathbf{E}\phi(X_2)} - \frac{h_\phi^w(X_1)}{\mathbf{E}\phi(X_1)} \right) \right], Y_1 = \frac{X_1}{\cos \alpha}, Y_2 = \frac{X_2}{\sin \alpha}. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 4 Given independent RVs X_1, X_2 with PDFs f_1, f_2 , and the weight function ϕ , set $X = X_1 + X_2$. Assume the following conditions: (i)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\phi(X_i) &\geq \mathbf{E}\phi(X) \text{ if } \kappa \geq 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ \mathbf{E}\phi(X_i) &\leq \mathbf{E}\phi(X) \text{ if } \kappa \leq 1, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(ii) With Y_1, Y_2 and α as defined in (5)

$$(\cos \alpha)^2 h_{\phi_c}^w(Y_1) + (\sin \alpha)^2 h_{\phi_s}^w(Y_2) \leq h_\phi^w(X) \quad (6)$$

where $\phi_c(x) = \phi(x \cos \alpha)$, $\phi_s(x) = \phi(x \sin \alpha)$ and

$$h_{\phi_c}^w(Y_1) = -\mathbf{E}[\phi_c(Y_1) \log(f_{Y_1}(Y_1))], h_{\phi_s}^w(Y_2) = -\mathbf{E}[\phi_s(Y_2) \log(f_{Y_2}(Y_2))].$$

Then WEPI holds.

Paying homage to [3] we call (5) weighted Lieb's splitting inequality (WLSI). In some cases WLSI may be effectively checked.

Example 5 Let $d = 1$ and $X_1 \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2)$, $X_2 \sim N(0, \sigma_2^2)$. Then the WLSI (5) takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} &\log [2\pi(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)] \mathbf{E}\phi(X) + \frac{\log e}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \mathbf{E}[X^2 \phi(X)] \geq \\ &(\cos \alpha)^2 \left[\log \left(\frac{2\pi\sigma_1^2}{(\cos \alpha)^2} \right) \right] \mathbf{E}\phi(X_1) + \frac{(\cos \alpha)^2 \log e}{\sigma_1^2} \mathbf{E}[X_1^2 \phi(X_1)] \\ &+ (\sin \alpha)^2 \left[\log \left(\frac{2\pi\sigma_2^2}{(\sin \alpha)^2} \right) \right] \mathbf{E}\phi(X_2) + \frac{(\sin \alpha)^2 \log e}{\sigma_2^2} \mathbf{E}[X_2^2 \phi(X_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

5.1. WLSI for the weight function close to a constant

Proposition 6 Let $d = 1$, $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$, $i = 1, 2$ be independent and $X = X_1 + X_2 \sim N(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$. Suppose that WF $x \rightarrow \phi(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable and

$$|\phi''(x)| \leq \epsilon \phi(x), |\phi(x) - \bar{\phi}| \leq \epsilon \quad (7)$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and $\bar{\phi} > 0$ are constants. Then there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all WF ϕ satisfying (5.1) with $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ WLSI holds true. Hence, the checking of WEPI is reduced to condition (5).

For a RV Z , $\gamma > 0$ and independent Gaussian RV $N \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_d)$ define

$$M(Z; \gamma_0) = \mathbf{E} \left[\|Z - \mathbf{E}[Z|Z\sqrt{\gamma} + N]\|^2 \right]$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ stands for Euclidean norm. According to [2, 5] the differential entropy

$$h(Z) = h(N) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty [M(Z; \gamma) - \mathbf{1}_{\{\gamma < 1\}}] d\gamma.$$

For $Z = Y_1, Y_2, X_1 + X_2$ assume the following conditions

$$\mathbf{E}[\|\log f_Z(Z)\|] < \infty, \mathbf{E}[\|Z\|^2] < \infty. \quad (8)$$

Theorem 7 Assume conditions (5.1). Let γ_0 be a point of continuity of $M(Z; \gamma)$, $Z = Y_1, Y_2, X_1 + X_2$. Suppose that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$M(X_1 + X_2; \gamma_0) \geq M(Y_1, \gamma_0)(\cos \alpha)^2 + M(Y_2; \gamma_0)(\sin \alpha)^2 + \delta.$$

Suppose that for some $\bar{\phi} > 0$ the WF satisfies

$$|\phi(x) - \bar{\phi}| < \epsilon. \quad (9)$$

Then there exists $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(\gamma_0, \delta, f_1, f_2)$ such that for all WFs satisfying (5.1) with $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$ WLSI holds true.

References

1. *Kelbert M., Suhov Y.* Information Theory and Coding by Example. — Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2013.
2. *Kelbert M., Suhov Y.* Continuity of mutual entropy in the limiting signal-to-noise ratio regimes / Stochastic Analysis. — Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2010. — P. 281-299.
3. *Lieb E.* Proof of entropy conjecture of Wehrl // Commun. Math. Phys. — 1978. — Vol. 62. — P. 35-41.
4. *Suhov Y., Stuhl I, Sekeh S., Kelbert M.* Basic inequalities for weighted entropy // Aequationes Mathematicae. — 2016. — Vol. 90, no. 4. — P. 817-848.
5. *Verdú S., Guo D.* A simple proof of the entropy-power inequality // IEEE Transaction on Information Theory. — 2006. — Vol. 52, no. 5. — P. 2165-2166.