

# INTEGRO-LOCAL LIMIT THEOREMS FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL COMPOUND RENEWAL PROCESSES

**A. A. Mogulskii**<sup>\*†</sup>

*\* Laboratory of Probability and Mathematical Statistics,  
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics,  
pr. Koptyuga, 4, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia*

*† Department of Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics,  
Novosibirsk State University,  
Pirogova str. 2, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia*

**Abstract.** We establish integro-local limit theorems for compound renewal processes.

**Keywords:** Compound renewal process, Cramer's condition, large deviations, integro-local limit theorem.

## 1. Formulation of the problem

Let  $(\tau, \zeta), (\tau_1, \zeta_1), (\tau_2, \zeta_2), \dots$ —be a sequence i.i.d. random vectors,

$$\tau > 0, \quad \zeta = (\zeta_{(1)}, \dots, \zeta_{(d)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d \geq 1.$$

Let

$$T_0 := 0, \quad T_{n+1} := T_n + \tau_{n+1}, \quad \mathbf{Z}_0 := \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{Z}_{n+1} := \mathbf{Z}_n + \zeta_{n+1};$$

let for  $t \geq 0$

$$\eta(t) := \min\{k \geq 0 : T_k > t\}, \quad \nu(t) := \max\{k \geq 0 : T_k \leq t\}.$$

The compound renewal processes  $\mathbf{Z}(t), \mathbf{Y}(t)$  for the sequence  $(\tau_j, \zeta_j), j \geq 1$ , are defined as (see [1], [2])

$$\mathbf{Z}(t) := \mathbf{Z}_{\nu(t)}, \quad \mathbf{Y}(t) := \mathbf{Z}_{\eta(t)} = \mathbf{Z}(t) + \zeta_{\eta(t)} \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let the Cramer's moment condition hold:

$[\mathbf{C}_0]$ . For some  $\delta > 0$

$$\mathbf{E}e^{\delta\tau + \delta|\zeta|} < \infty.$$

For a vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(d)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  let

$$\Delta[\mathbf{x}] := \prod_{j=1}^d [x_{(d)}, x_{(d)} + \Delta], \quad \Delta = \Delta_T > 0,$$

$\Delta \rightarrow 0$  slowly enough in not lattice case and  $\Delta = 1$  in arithmetic case. We study integro-local limit theorems for  $\mathbf{Z}(T)$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}(T)$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e. the exact asymptotics for the probabilities

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Z}(T) \in \Delta[\mathbf{x}]) = ?, \quad \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Y}(T) \in \Delta[\mathbf{x}]) = ?$$

in the range of normal and large deviations.

This is joint work with E.I.Prokopenko.

## 2. Deviation (rate) function

For  $(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = (\lambda, \mu_{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{(d)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  let us define

$$A(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\mu}) := \mathbf{E}e^{\lambda\tau + \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\zeta}},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\zeta} := \mu_{(1)}\zeta_{(1)} + \dots + \mu_{(d)}\zeta_{(d)}$ ;

$$\mathcal{A}^{\leq 0} := \{(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\mu}) : A(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \leq 0\}, \quad \lambda_+ := \sup\{\lambda : \mathbf{E}e^{\lambda\tau} < \infty\}.$$

Then for  $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  put

$$A(\boldsymbol{\mu}) := -\sup\{\lambda : (\lambda, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \in \mathcal{A}^{\leq 0}\},$$

where  $\sup\{\lambda : \lambda \in \emptyset\} = -\infty$ ;

$$\hat{A}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) := \max\{A(\boldsymbol{\mu}), \lambda_+\}.$$

Finely, for  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  define deviation (rate) functions  $D(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$  and  $\hat{D}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ :

$$D(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) := \sup_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - A(\boldsymbol{\mu})\}, \quad \hat{D}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) := \sup_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \hat{A}(\boldsymbol{\mu})\}.$$

## 3. The Large Deviation Principle

**Definition 1.** Process  $\{\frac{\mathbf{Z}(T)}{T}\}$  satisfies the large deviation principle (LDP) in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with a good rate function  $\hat{D}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ , if for all sets  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

(i)

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \ln \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}(T)}{T} \in B\right) \leq - \inf_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in [B]} \hat{D}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}),$$

where  $[B]$  is closure of  $B$ ;

(ii)

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \ln \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Z}(T)}{T} \in B\right) \geq - \inf_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in (B)} \hat{D}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}),$$

where  $[B]$  is interior of  $B$ ;

(iii) the set  $\{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \hat{D}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \leq c\}$  is compact for all  $c \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 1** ([2]). Assume

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \ln \mathbf{P}(\tau \geq T) \geq -\lambda_+.$$

Then process  $\{\frac{\mathbf{Z}(T)}{T}\}$  satisfies LDP in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with a good rate function  $\hat{D}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ , also

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \ln \mathbf{E} e^{\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{Z}(T)} = -\hat{A}(\boldsymbol{\mu}).$$

If, in additionally,

$$\lambda_+ \geq D(\mathbf{0})$$

hold, then

$$\hat{A}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = A(\boldsymbol{\mu}), \quad \hat{D}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = D(\boldsymbol{\alpha}),$$

and condition (3) can be omitted.

In case  $d = 1$ ,  $\lambda_+ \geq D(\mathbf{0})$ , Theorem 1 was established in [1].

#### 4. The exact asymptotics in regular case for $\mathbf{Z}(T)$ and $\mathbf{Y}(T)$

Let us define  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  so that

$$D(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \sup_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \{\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} - A(\boldsymbol{\mu})\} = \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \boldsymbol{\alpha} - A(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})).$$

Then  $(\lambda(\boldsymbol{\alpha}), \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})) := (-A(\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})), \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}))$  belongs to the boundary  $\partial \mathcal{A}^{\leq 0}$  of the set  $\mathcal{A}^{\leq 0}$ . Let put

$$\mathfrak{A} := \{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^d : (\lambda(\boldsymbol{\alpha}), \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})) \in (\mathcal{A})\}, \quad \mathfrak{C} := \{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \in (\mathcal{M})\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} := \{(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\mu}) : A(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\mu}) < \infty\}, \quad \mathcal{M} := \{\boldsymbol{\mu} : \mathbf{E} e^{\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\zeta}} < \infty\}.$$

Put

$$\mathfrak{T} := \{\boldsymbol{\alpha} : \lambda(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \geq \lambda_+\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** ([3]) Let

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} := \frac{\mathbf{x}}{T} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\alpha}^0 \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

I. If  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^0 \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus \mathfrak{T}$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Z}(T) \in \Delta[\mathbf{x}]) = \frac{\Delta^d}{T^{\frac{d}{2}}} I_{\mathbf{Z}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) e^{-TD(\boldsymbol{\alpha})} (1 + o(1)).$$

II. If  $\alpha^0 \in \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{C}$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Y}(T) \in \Delta[\mathbf{x}]) = \frac{\Delta^d}{T^{\frac{d}{2}}} I_{\mathbf{Y}}(\alpha) e^{-TD(\alpha)} (1 + o(1)),$$

where the continuous functions  $I_{\mathbf{Z}}(\alpha)$ ,  $I_{\mathbf{Y}}(\alpha)$  are known in explicit form. Theorem 2 in case  $d = 1$  was established in [1].

## 5. The exact asymptotics in non-regular case for $\mathbf{Z}(T)$

$[\mathbf{F}_\tau]$ . For all  $t > 0$

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau \geq t) = L(t) e^{-\lambda + ct^\gamma},$$

where  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $L(t) = t^\beta l(t)$ —regularly varying as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  function.

**Theorem 3.** ( [3] ) Assume  $[\mathbf{F}_\tau]$  holds. Let  $\kappa := \lfloor \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \rfloor$ ;  $\alpha^0 \in \mathfrak{A} \cap \mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\alpha^0 \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Then for  $\alpha := \frac{\mathbf{x}}{T} \rightarrow \alpha^0$  we have

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Z}(T) \in \Delta[\mathbf{x}]) =$$

$$\frac{\Delta^d L(T)}{T^{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}}} C(\alpha) e^{-T\hat{D}(\alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} T^{k\gamma - (k-1)} g_k(\alpha)} (1 + o(1)),$$

where the continuous functions  $C(\alpha), g_1(\alpha), \dots, g_\kappa(\alpha)$  are known in explicit form.

## 6. The exact asymptotics of finite-dimensional distributions for $\mathbf{Z}(T)$

Let

$$U_0 = 0, \quad U_1 \rightarrow \infty, \dots, U_m \rightarrow \infty; \quad W_j := U_0 + \dots + U_j.$$

In [3] were found fairly extensive conditions, under which for

$$\alpha_0 := \mathbf{0}, \quad \alpha_j := \frac{\mathbf{x}_j}{U_j} \rightarrow \alpha_j^0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

holds

$$\mathbf{P}(\cap_{j=1}^m \{\mathbf{Z}(W_j) - \mathbf{Z}(W_{j-1}) \in \Delta_j[\mathbf{x}_j]\}) = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\Delta_j^d}{U_j^{\frac{d}{2}}} I_{\mathbf{Z}}(\alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j) e^{-U_j D(\alpha_j)} (1 + o(1)).$$

In article [1], in case  $d = 1$  proposed conditions, under which (6) holds.

## References

1. *Borovkov A. A., Mogulskii A. A.*, Integro-local limit theorems for compound renewal processes under Cramer condition.I,II. (to appear).
2. *Mogulskii A. A., Prokopenko E.Í.*, Large deviation principle in phase space of the multidimensional compound renewal processes under Cramer condition. (to appear).
3. *Mogulskii A. A., Prokopenko E.Í.*, Integro-local limit theorems for the multidimensional compound renewal processes under Cramer condition.I,II,III.(to appear).