

Non-classical boundary crossing problems for general random walks

A. I. Sakhanenko^{*†}

** Novosibirsk State University,
Pirogova str. 1, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia*

*† Sobolev Institute of Mathematics,
Koptuga prospekt 4, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia*

Abstract. In this paper we consider non-classical random walks and investigate asymptotic behavior of first-passage times over moving boundaries. The survey of such results and of methods for their proofs is presented. Several new results are also found.

Keywords: Random walk, first-passage time, moving boundary, Brownian motion.

1. Introduction

Consider a random walk S_1, S_2, \dots with $S_0 = 0$. For arbitrary real numbers y and g_1, g_2, \dots let

$$T_{y,g} := \min\{n \geq 1 : y + S_n \leq g_n\} \quad (1)$$

be the first crossing of the moving boundary g_n by the random walk S_n . The main purpose of the present paper is to study the asymptotic behaviour of the distributions of first-passage times over moving boundaries

$$\mathbf{P}(T_{y,g} > n) = \mathbf{P}(y + \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} (S_k - g_k) > 0) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2)$$

for general random walks. An important particular case of this problem is the case of a constant boundary $g_n \equiv 0$.

If all $X_k = S_k - S_{k-1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are independent and have identical distribution then the elegant result of Doney [6] is available for asymptotically stable random walks. In particular, if $\mathbf{E}X_1 = 0$ and $\mathbf{E}X_1^2 < \infty$ then for every fixed $y \geq 0$

$$\mathbf{P}(T_{y,0} > n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\mathbf{E}[-S_{T_{y,0}}]}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (3)$$

The use of the Wiener-Hopf factorization is a traditional approach to the derivation of (3). But if the increments X_k have different distributions or if we consider moving boundaries then there is no hope to generalize the factorization approach via the Wiener-Hopf identities to such random walks.

For such random walks a different approach was suggested in [2]. It is based on an idea due to Denisov and Wahtel (see [3], [4], [5] for another applications of this idea).

To describe the main result from [2] introduce assumptions:

$$\mathbf{E}X_k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < B_k^2 := \mathbf{E}S_k^2 < \infty \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

We need also the *Lindeberg condition*

$$L_n^2(\varepsilon) := \frac{1}{B_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}[X_k^2; |X_k| > \varepsilon B_n] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

About real numbers $\{g_n\}$ used in definition (1) we assume that

$$g_n/B_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y + \sum_{k=1}^n \text{esssup} X_k > g_n \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \quad (5)$$

where $\text{esssup} X_k := \sup\{x : \mathbf{P}(X_k \geq x) > 0\}$. It is worth mentioning that assumption (5) is sufficient for the fact that $\mathbf{P}(T_{y,g} > n) > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 1 *Assume that random variables $\{X_k\}$ are independent and that conditions (4) – (5) hold. Then*

$$\mathbf{P}(T_{y,g} > n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{U_{y,g}(B_n^2)}{B_n}, \quad (6)$$

where $U_{y,g}(\cdot)$ is a positive, slowly varying function with the values

$$0 < U_{y,g}(B_n^2) = \mathbf{E}[y + S_n - g_n; T_{y,g} > n] \sim \mathbf{E}[-S_{T_{y,g}}; T_{y,g} \leq n].$$

Asymptotic formula (6) generalizes (3) to all random walks satisfying the Lindeberg condition and to all boundaries satisfying (5). It was shown in [2] that the main results of the works [1], [7], [8] and [12] are the very particular cases of Theorem 1.

2. New results

Consider a case when an arbitrary random walk $\{S_k\}$ may be approximated by a walk $\{W(t_k)\}$ for some Wiener process $W(\cdot)$, where

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < t_{k+1} < \dots \quad \text{for all } k > 0.$$

So we want to try to use a strong approximation. To formulate our next results we introduce the classical random broken line

$$s(t) = S_k + X_{k+1} \frac{t - t_k}{t_{k+1} - t_k} \quad \text{for } t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

We always consider $s_n(t) := s(tt_n)/\sqrt{t_n}$ as random process defined for $t \in [0, 1]$ with values in the space $C[0, 1]$ of continuous functions.

Theorem 2 *Suppose that for each $n \geq 1$ we can define the random walk $\{S_k, k \geq 1\}$ and a Brownian motion $W_n(t), t \in [0, 1]$, on a common probability space so that*

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\delta_n := \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |s_n(t) - W_n(t)| > \varepsilon_n y_n / \sqrt{t_n} \right) = o(y_n / \sqrt{t_n}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (8)$$

for some $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Assume in addition that

$$y_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad y_n / \sqrt{t_n} \rightarrow 0, \quad G_n / y_n \rightarrow 0, \quad (9)$$

where $G_n := \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |g_k|$. Then

$$\mathbf{P}(T_{y_n, g} > n) = \mathbf{P}(y_n + \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} (S_k - g_k) > 0) \sim \sqrt{2/\pi} y_n / \sqrt{t_n}. \quad (10)$$

To prove the theorem note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(T_{y_n, g} > n) &\leq \mathbf{P}(y_n + \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k > -G_n) = \mathbf{P} \left(\min_{1 \leq t \leq 1} s_n(t) > \frac{-y_n - G_n}{\sqrt{t_n}} \right) \\ &\leq \mathbf{P} \left(\min_{1 \leq t \leq 1} W_n(t) > \frac{-y_n - G_n - \varepsilon_n y_n}{\sqrt{t_n}} \right) + \mathbf{P}(\delta_n > \varepsilon_n y_n / \sqrt{t_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbf{P}(T_{y_n, g} > n) \geq \mathbf{P} \left(\min_{1 \leq t \leq 1} W_n(t) > \frac{-y_n + G_n + \varepsilon_n y_n}{\sqrt{t_n}} \right) - \mathbf{P}(\delta_n > \varepsilon_n y_n / \sqrt{t_n}).$$

On the other hand, it is known that for any $x_n > 0$

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\min_{1 \leq t \leq 1} W_n(t) > x_n \right) = \int_{-x_n}^{x_n} (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{x^2/2} dx \sim \sqrt{2/\pi} x_n$$

as $x_n \rightarrow 0$. These arguments yield (10) with

$$0 < x_n = (y_n \pm G_n \pm \varepsilon_n y_n) / \sqrt{t_n} \sim y_n / \sqrt{t_n}.$$

As a simple corollary we obtain

Theorem 3 *Suppose that random variables $\{X_k\}$ are independent and that conditions (4), (5) and (9) take place when $y = y_n$ and $\sqrt{t_n} = B_n$. Assume in addition that*

$$B_n^3 / y_n^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{k \geq 1} \mathbf{E}X_k^\alpha / \mathbf{E}X_k^2 < \infty$$

for some $\alpha > 2$. Then assertion (10) of Theorem 2 holds with $\sqrt{t_n} = B_n$.

For the proof note first of all that from (7) and (8) we have:

$$\sqrt{t_n}\delta_n = \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_n} |s(t) - \tilde{W}_n(t)| \quad (11)$$

where $\tilde{W}_n(t) := \sqrt{t_n}W_n(t/t_n)$ is a new Wiener process. But it was shown in Theorem 1 from [9] that for each $\alpha > 2$ and every $\varepsilon_n y_n > 0$ it is possible to construct a Wiener process $\tilde{W}_n(\cdot)$ such that

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\max_{t \leq B_n^2} |s(t) - \tilde{W}_n(t)| > C\alpha\varepsilon_n y_n \right) \leq (\varepsilon_n y_n)^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E}|X_k|^\alpha,$$

where C is an absolute constant. Hence, Theorem 3 is a partial case of Theorem 2 with $t_n = B_n^2$ and $\varepsilon_n = B^{3/(\alpha+1)}/y_n \rightarrow 0$.

Note that if in the proof of Theorem 3 instead of the estimates from [9] we will use the similar estimates from [10] or [11] for $2 < \alpha \leq 3$, then we obtain that the main assertion of Theorem 3 remains valid also for the special martingales which was considered in [10] and [11].

3. Conclusions

The process $s(\cdot)$ defined in (7) does not depend on n . Hence, it follows from (11) that condition (8) holds only when $y_n \rightarrow \infty$. It means that strong approximations do not allow us to investigate probabilities from (2) for fixed values y . For such values y we should search for different approaches. And one such approach was developed in [2].

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by RSF (project No. 17-11-01173).

References

1. *Aurzada F., Baumgarten C.* Survival probabilities of weighted random walks // ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. — 2011. — Vol. 8. — P. 235–258.
2. *Denisov D., Sakhanenko A. I., Wachtel V.* First-passage times for random walks with non-identically distributed increments // arXiv: 1611.00493v1 [math.PR]. — 2016.
3. *Denisov D., Wachtel V.* Conditional limit theorems for ordered random walks // Electron. J. Probab. — 2010. — Vol. 15. — P. 292–322.
4. *Denisov D., Wachtel V.* Random walks in cones // Ann. Probab. — 2015. — Vol. 3, no. 3. — P. 992–1044.

5. *Denisov D., Wachtel V.* Exit times for integrated random walks // Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. — 2015. — Vol. 51, no. 1. — P. 167–193.
6. *Doney R. A.* Spitzer's condition and the ladder variables in random walks // Prob. Th. Rel. Fields. — 1995. — Vol. 101. — P. 577–580.
7. *Greenwood P. E., Novikov A. A.* One-sided boundary crossing for processes with independent increments // Theory Probab. Appl. — 1987. — Vol. 31, no. 2. — P. 221–232.
8. *Novikov A. A.* The martingale method in the problem of first passage over nonlinear boundaries // Trudy Math. Inst. Acad. Sci. USSR. — 1981. — Vol. 158. — P. 130–152. (in Russian)
9. *Sakhanenko A. I.* Estimates in the invariance principle in terms of truncated power moments. Siberian Math. J. — 2006. — Vol. 47. — P. 1113–1127.
10. *Sakhanenko A. I.* Simple method of obtaining estimates in the invariance principle // Lecture Notes in Math. — Springer, 1988. — Vol. 1299. — P. 430–443.
11. *Sakhanenko A. I.* A new way to obtain estimates in the invariance principle // Progr. Probab. — Birkhäuser, 2000. — Vol. 47. — P. 223–245.
12. *Uchiyama K.* Brownian first exit from and sojourn over one-sided moving boundary and application // Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete. — 1980. — Vol. 54. — P. 75–116.